MY HOME LIFE

Our vision is a world where all care homes are great places to live, die, visit and work.

Promoting a positive culture

This updated research briefing paper was written by Belinda Dewar and based on a review of the literature on quality of life in care homes, undertaken by the National Care Research and Development Forum in 2006¹, which was later updated by a review of reviews (2006-2016), undertaken by the My Home Life team. My Home Life is an international programme of work aimed at promoting the quality of life for those who are living, dying, visiting, or working in care homes for older people.

 Overall, research on promoting a positive culture seems to reflect many of the findings of

- the original review (NCHRD, 2007). Post 2007, new evidence suggests:
- Staffing and skill mix can help to promote a positive culture in care homes (Shin and Bae, 2012).
- Team work and team approaches can enhance job satisfaction (Pol-Grevelink et al., 2012).
- Interventions aimed at enhancing positive culture can be beneficial (Petriwskyj et al., 2015; Andre et al., 2014; Hill et al., 2011).

Introduction

The culture of a home directly affects the quality of life of residents. A positive culture has the ethos of care built around the resident. It is based on evidence of what makes a good care home and is continually effective within a changing health and social care context (Manley et al., 2004). Effective leadership and management and the availability of expert advice are paramount in creating and maintaining a positive culture.

Relationship-centred care

Studies suggest that we need to change the way we think about older people (Ronch, 2004). The prevalent model in care emphasises the debilitating effects of old age where staff 'do things to' residents. This devalues staff as much as residents. A more positive model is one

that emphasises personal growth for residents and staff with a shared commitment to ideas, values, goals and management practices by residents, staff and relatives (Ronch, 2004).

There is a strong focus in this way of working on the importance of relationships, valuing different perspectives and fostering creativity, learning and innovation. It is based on a different level of learning within organisations, one that challenges beliefs, as opposed to imparting facts or rules. Research suggests that a culture strongly based on relationships leads to positive resident outcomes (Anderson et al., 2003). For instance, being able to say what you mean without fear of retribution has been linked to lower use of restraints, and increased participation in decision-making by registered nurses has been linked to lowering



prevalence of aggressive behaviour among residents (Anderson et al., 2003). Conversely, specifying work procedures and rules in combination with surveillance has been linked to higher prevalence of complication and immobility (Anderson et al., 2003).

Common aims

It is increasingly recognised that staff and residents are interdependent and this needs to be considered when fostering positive cultures in care homes (Ronch, 2004; Davies et al., 2003; Anderson et al., 2003). A 'complete community' is consistent with the most positive experiences of older people with the 'best care' (Davies, 2001). In a 'complete community' objectives are enablement and partnership; person-centred and relationship-centred; staff are working as an effective team with mutual appreciation and some blurring of roles; relatives are integral members of the team; interdependence is an important value; and close links are made with the local community (Davies, 2001).

Several authors advocate that for successful cultural change the important part that each stakeholder plays must be acknowledged (Ronch, 2004; Davies et al., 2003; Anderson et al., 2003). One report suggests that residents and staff have six mutual goals. These are to:

- Feel safe
- Feel physically comfortable
- Experience a sense of control
- Feel valued as a person
- Experience optimal stimulation
- Experience pleasure. No pressure/under pressure (Ronch, 2004)

Supporting the workforce

The availability of training and well-motivated staff to meet the needs of residents in care homes is an important aspect of the culture. Team performance will impact on quality of life of residents and resident and staff wellbeing are interdependent (West et al., 2005).

Research exploring the relationship between staffing and quality of care has typically suggested a linear relationship. For example, as staffing increases, residents outcomes improve, but levels of staffing may not tell the whole story (Kane 2004). Training and practice development are key to improvements in the culture of the care home and there is clearly a link with improved quality of life (West et al., 2005; Schnelle et al., 2004; Pearson et al.,1993).

Training benefits residents in many ways - it has been shown to reduce the use of sedation and restraint and is likely to generate more individual care and enhanced relationships between staff and residents. Knowledge improves after training, which in turn improves practice and makes a difference to resident care.

Closer working relationships are needed between care homes, the local community and institutions of higher and further education to improve the quality of life in care homes, along with greater investment in education and training.

Supportive management

The importance of effective leadership, management and the availability of expert advice to achieve a positive care home community are paramount. However, access to sufficient resources, particularly staff time, is also essential. Research into job satisfaction and motivation for care home staff stresses the interdependence of staff and residents (Gattuso and Bevan, 2000). It recognises the importance of a management that listens and asks the rights questions, and it shows that the development of a learning environment for both staff and residents is necessary to person-centred care and the best possible wellbeing for both. Furthermore, key values, roles, knowledge and skills must not be assumed. A listening, proactive style of management is more likely



to encourage open relationships and empower staff to be creative. There is a strong association between empowerment, communicating, improving involvement in decision making, were found to be important factors in leadership style for increasing quality within care homes.

Research shows that good managers;

- Show an interest is staff and residents
- Are approachable;
- Nurture, care and conciliate and collaborate
- Are unbureaucratic;
- Show an interest in peoples personal lives;
- Offer people their time;
- Communicate effectively
- Develop a collegiate culture to promote wellbeing in the workplace.

Management strategies that enable successful change to provide a quality service and that help to create a community of practice, include collaboration (pooled knowledge and skills); narration (telling stories about problems and solutions) and improvisation (creative responses to gaps in the real world of practice and the formal rules) (Ronch, 2004).

Other support for staff

There is no doubt that care home work is physically and emotionally demanding. Research suggests that approaches to team working need to help staff manage that aspect of their work (Wicke, 2004; Nolan and Grant, 1993). Staff members need clarity about the goals of care for individual residents and the skills and opportunities to assess whether these goals are achieved. Communication is central to promoting positive culture of care. Communication training has been shown to have positive effects on staffs'

communication knowledge and skills as well as resident outcomes.

Staff members also need to feel that they are appreciated by residents and their families. Having their work recognised and feeling a sense of achievement are important motivators for care assistants. Members of care staff have been found to place high value on simple acts such as being told that their work is valued, as well as recognition from colleagues, residents and families. In regards to the impact of person centred care on staff job satisfaction the evidence from the review of the literature reviews (2007-2017) indicates this remains inconclusive. Pol-Grevelink et al., 2012 found a relationship between person centred care approaches such as emotion orientated care and small scale living and dimensions of job satisfaction and offers the care givers personal accomplishment, underscoring the interdependence of the care giver and the resident.

Practice examples

Factors that affect team performance can include job satisfaction, levels of stress and burnout, managerial style and patterns of working. A study found job satisfaction and staff motivation highlighted the importance of emotional health in the workplace and the interdependence of resident and staff wellbeing (Hurtley, 2003). Key findings include:

- A listening management, asking the right questions, alongside a learning environment for both staff and residents is necessary to person-centred care and optimal wellbeing for both;
- Key values, roles, knowledge and skills must be actively modelled and taught;
- Releasing empowerment and creativity within the workplace can overcome the effects of stress and burnout;



 Realistic rewards are necessary to reflect task significance of the carer's role (Hurtley 2003).

In a study by Hockney et al., (2005) the quality of end of life care was the focus of improvement in eight nursing homes in Scotland using an integrated care pathway (ICP). The project used an action research approach to promote collaboration between staff in nursing homes and the research team, and to empower staff so that they could develop a practice-based theory about end-of-life care that was relevant to them.

The project used the following strategies to promote a culture in the care home that valued changing practice to achieve quality end-of-life care:

- The identification and support of two key champions in each home who would lead the initiative and enhance sustainability of the project once it has finished;
- Development of a community of practice that included researchers and practitioners, all of whom wanted to pursue the development of knowledge in relation to end-of-life care;
- The use of action learning sets for key champions in order to challenge espoused theories and theories in use;
- A values clarification exercise to establish the beliefs and values of staff in relation to end of life care. the results were formulated into an audit tool that staff could use to determine if they felt their organisation was meeting these goals;
- Education sessions on the use of the ICP documentation to key champions and subsequently to all nursing homes;
- Collaborative learning groups for all staff following a death in the nursing home; and
- Regular supervision from a clinical nurse specialist (palliative care) and the study team.

Positive outcomes included enhancing the quality of end-of-life care for residents, such as by effective pain control and increased opportunities to talk about death. In addition, practitioners commented on their enhanced self awareness, ability to challenge others, ability to put together a persuasive case for change, enhanced paperwork – particularly with GP's – and opening up the nursing home culture so that talking about death and dying was not feared (Hockley et al., 2005).

The findings from the review of the literature reviews (2007-2017) found that previous programmes such as Wellspring which evaluated well previously (Stone et al., 2002), with a number of further evaluation studies being conducted the evidence is less conclusive (Hill et al., 2011; Petriwskyj et al., 2015). The model aims to enhance the quality of resident care and improve the working life of care home staff. Although the results are inconsistent when looking at individual studies, with an integrative review there appears to be potential of the psychosocial benefits to residents. This could be due to the focus on cultural change models being environmental such as faculties and residents empowerment with a focus on clinical practice improvements and stronger nurse leadership. Support for organisations in ensuring that the model is properly implemented and that person centred principles are adequately supported may be essential in achieving the outcomes developers have envisaged.



References

André,B., Sjøvold, E., Rannestad, T., Ringdal, G.I. (2014) The impact of work culture on quality of care in nursing homes--a review study. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Science Vol. 28(3),pp.449-57.

Anderson R, Issel LM and McDaniel RR (2003) Nursing homes as complex adaptive systems: relationship between management practice and resident outcomes. Nursing Research. 52 (1):12–21

Davies, S. (2001) Relatives Experiences of Nursing Home Entry: a constructivist inquiry. Unpublished PhD thesis. <u>University of Sheffield</u>.

Davies, S., Darlington, E., Powell, A. and Aveyard, B. (2003), Developing partnerships at 67 Birch

Avenue Nursing Home: the support 67 Action Group. Quality in Ageing: policy, practice and research. Vol 4(4), pp. 32–7.

Gattuso S and Bevan C (2000) Mother, daughter, patient, nurse: women's emotion work in aged care. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 31(4): 892–9

Hockley, J., Dewar, B. and Watson, J. (2005) Promoting end-of-life-care in nursing homes using an 'integrated care pathway for the last days of life'. Journal of Research in Nursing. Vol 10 (2), pp. 135–52.

Hill, N. H., Kolanowski, A. M., Milone-Nuzzo, P., Yevchak, A. (2011) Culture change models and resident health outcomes in long-term care. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*. Vol. 4, pp. 30-40.

Hurtley, R. (2003) Job Satisfaction and Motivation for Staff in Long-term Care. University of Surrey MSc.

Kane, R. (2004), Commentary: nursing home staffing – more is necessary but not necessarily sufficient. <u>Health Services Research</u>. Vol. 39, p. 251.

Manley, K., et al., (2004) Changing Patients' Worlds through Nursing Practice Expertise. Royal College of Nursing Institute. London.

Nolan M and Grant G (1993) Rust out and therapeutic reciprocity: concepts to advance the nursing care of older people. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 18: 1305–14

Petriwskyj, A., Parker, D., Brown-Wilson, C., Gibson, A. (2016) What Health and Aged Care Culture Change Models Mean for Residents and Their Families: A Systematic Review. The Gerontologist. Vol. 56(2), e12-e20.

Pearson A, Hocking S, Mott S and Riggs A (1993) Quality of care in nursing homes: from the resident's perspective. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 18: 20–4

Pol-Grevelink, A., Jukema, J., Smits, C. (2012). Person-centred care and job satisfaction of caregivers in nursing homes: A systematic review of the impact of different forms of person-centred care on various dimensions of job satisfaction. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. Vol 27, pp. 219-29.

Ronch, J.L. (2004), Changing Institutional Culture: can we re-value the nursing home? <u>Journal of Gerontological Social Work</u>. Vol 43(1): 61–82.

Schnelle JF, Simmons SF, Harrington C, Cadogan M, Garcia E and Bates-Jenson BM (2004) Relationship of Nursing Home Staffing to Quality of Care. Health Services Research. 39 (2): 225–50

Stone, R.I., Reinhard, S.C., Bowers, B., Zimmerman, D., Phillips, C.D., Hawes, C., Fielding, J.A. and Jacobson, N. (2002) Evaluation of the Wellspring Model for Improving Nursing Home Quality. The Commonwealth Fund. www.cmwf.org

West E, Rafferty AM and Lankshear A (2005) The Future Nurse: evidence of the impact of registered nurses. RCN, London

Wicke, D., Coppin, R., Payne, S. (2004), Team-working in nursing homes. <u>Journal of Advanced Nursing</u>. Vol 45(2), pp. 197–204.

